SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE PROPER OF THE FORM)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REV	ERSE OF THE FORM.)	ca States B	1 September 1774, 13	rodunca for the f	iso of mo clock of	Count for the purpose of initiating	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
SHINTELE MALLOY				NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.				
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff _			County of Residen	nce of First Liste	d Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ame, Address, Telephone N	umber and Email Add	lress)	NOTE: IN I	LAND CONDEMN	NATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888			•	LA Attorneys (If Known)	ND INVOLVED.			
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)				L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government			(For Diversity Cases On on of This State	ıly) PTF DEF □ 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Proof Business In This			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity(Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	J 2 D 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI								
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury ENTERIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJUR: 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER: 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETFITON 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights	Y 61 62 62 62 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug O Other Food & Drug O Other Food & Drug O Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 O Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck O Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relation O Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act O Cher Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION Note The Property Act O Other Labor Standards O The Labor Litigation O Labor/Mgmt. Reporting Control of the Labor Litigation O The Labor Lit	422 Appe	REY RIGHTS rights at emark SECURFFY (1395ff) L Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) KL TAX SULTS 6 (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 50 450 Commerce	
⊠ 1 Original □ 2 Re	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened an	ansferred from other district pecify)	6 Multidistr		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of ca	tute under which you ar 110N 1692 use: ction Practices Ac		o not cite jurisdict	ionai statutes ui	mess diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKF'	T NUMBER		
Explanation:				/				
DATE	12	SIGNATURE OF	FATTOR	NEY OF KECORD)			

Case 2:12-cv-03192-WY Document 1 Filed 06/06/12 Page 2 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to b assignment to appropriate calendar.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 100 E. Glen Olden Ave, Apt	. K-10, Glenolden PA 19036
Address of Defendant: 507 PWAENTIAL Rd., HT	or sham pa 19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For A	dditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation at	_ ` & //
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	ar previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No.
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior st action in this court?	ait pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes No X
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier m	umbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No X
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	s case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please
	specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 V.S.C. \$1692	
(Please specify)	PICATION
ARBITRATION CERTI	
I, counsel of record do hereby certify	<i>r</i> .
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
MINIMIZE 1	57100
DATE: Attorney, at-Law	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by Jury only if ther	Attorney I.D.# e has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or v	vithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	C1100
DATE: URIURIA	51100
Attorney-at-Law CIV. 609 (6/08)	Attorney I.D.#

Case 2:12-cv-03192-WY Document 1 Filed 06/06/12 Page 3 of 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

SHINTELE MALLOY		: CIVIL ACTION					
17		:					
V.		· :					
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEM	MS, INC.	:	NO.				
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant sh	se Management Te a copy on all defeate that a defendable, with its first a a Case Management	rack Desigendants. (See January 1984) January Haraman (See Januar	Reduction Plan of this court, coun nation Form in all civil cases at the to See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the root agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and serve esignation Form specifying the track to	ime of everse ng said on the			
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CA	SE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28 U	J.S.C. § 22	41 through § 2255.	()			
(b) Social Security – Cases re and Human Services deny			•	()			
(c) Arbitration – Cases requir	red to be designat	ed for arbi	ration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for pers	onal injury	or property damage from	()			
•	complex and that	need speci	al or intense management by				
the court. (See reverse signal management cases.)	de of this form to	r a detaned	explanation of special	()			
(f) Standard Management – (Cases that do not	fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	()			
06/0 6 /2 <u>012</u>	Craig Thor Kimi	mel	Plaintiffs, Shintele Malloy				
Date	Attorney-at-law	1101	Attorney for				
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number		<u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 SHINTELE MALLOY, 4 Plaintiff Case No.: 5 v. COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., **JURY TRIAL** 7 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) Defendant 8 **COMPLAINT** 9 SHINTELE MALLOY ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 10 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 11 12 ("Defendant"): 13 INTRODUCTION 14 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 2. 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 20 iurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 21 3. Defendant's corporate headquarters is in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; 22 therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. 23 24 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Glenolden, Pennsylvania 19036.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. The alleged debt at issue, a student loan, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Plaintiff never incurred any debts in connection with a business or commercial activities and, therefore, the alleged debt, if truly an obligation owed by her, could have only arisen from a financial obligation for primarily personal, family or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in February 2012, and continuing through April 2012, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on her cellular and work telephones in an attempt to collect a consumer debt.
- 14. Plaintiff regularly received collection calls from the following phone number: (215) 441-3000, which the undersigned has confirmed is a telephone number belonging to Defendant.

- 15. During the relevant period, Defendant called Plaintiff, on average, more than once a day.
- 16. In addition to calling her, Defendant would also leave messages on Plaintiff's voicemail deceptively claiming that, "they had something important to discuss with her and to call them back."
- 17. Defendant's messages were designed to create a false sense of urgency and to trick Plaintiff into believing that it did in fact have sometime time sensitive and important.
- 18. In those instances when Defendant called Plaintiff at her place of employment, Plaintiff informed Plaintiff that she is not allowed to get personal calls at work and to stop calling her.
- 19. Defendant, however, ignored Plaintiff's instructions and continued to contact Plaintiff at her place of employment.
- 20. Further, in its attempts to collect a debt, Defendant's collector, who identified herself to Plaintiff as "Jeannine," threatened Plaintiff that "if she doesn't work with her then she could have her wages garnished."
- 21. Believing Defendant's threats to garnish her wages, Plaintiff made two payments of \$75.00, both in February 2012 and March 2012.
- 22. After making the payments, however, Defendant deceptively claimed that Plaintiff needed to pay more, leading Plaintiff to believe that she had to pay the amount Defendant demanded or garnishment of her wages was imminent.
- 23. Plaintiff requested that Defendant provide something in writing regarding her repayment options; however, Defendant never sent her anything in writing.

24

- At the time Defendant threatened to garnish Plaintiff's wages, it did not intend to 24. take such action.
- 25. Lastly, Additionally, on or about April 12, 2012, Defendant contacted Plaintiff's grandmother deceptively claiming that they were attempting to locate Plaintiff.
- 26. During the conversation, Defendant disclosed to Plaintiff's grandmother that it was a debt collection company and that it was attempting to locate Plaintiff.
- 27. At the time Defendant contacted Plaintiff's grandmother, it already possessed valid contact information for Plaintiff, as it had spoken with Plaintiff directly, making its statements to Plaintiff's grandmother false and said to embarrass Plaintiff.
 - 28. Defendant had no legitimate business purpose to contact Plaintiff's grandmother.
- 29. Furthermore, Defendant did not have Plaintiff's permission to disclose any information about the alleged debt to her grandmother.
- 30. Defendant's contact with Plaintiff's grandmother was for the sole purpose of harassing, abusing and embarrassing Plaintiff.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT **COUNT I**

- 31. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(3).
 - Section 1692c(a)(3) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from a. communicating with a consumer at a consumer's place of employment if the debt collector knows or has reason to know that the consumer's employer prohibits the consumer from receiving such communication.

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

Here. Defendant violated §1692c(a)(3) of the FDCPA when it repeatedly b. called Plaintiff at her place of employment after Plaintiff told Defendant that she was not permitted to receive personal calls at work.

COUNT II

- 32. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from engaging in a. conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a b. telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it c. called Plaintiff multiple times a day and on numerous days a week about the debt it was attempting to collect with the purpose of annoying, abusing and harassing Plaintiff.

COUNT III

- 33. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e and 1692e(4).
 - A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

- A debt collector violates §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by representing or b. implicating that nonpayment of any debt will result I the arrest or imprisonment of any person or the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action.
- Here, Defendant violated §§1692e and 1692e(4) of the FDCPA by c. threatening to garnish Plaintiff's wages, when it did not have the intention to do so, with the sole purpose of causing Plaintiff to remit payment on the alleged debt, when Defendant had no intention to garnish her wages.
- Also, Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by deceptively claiming d. that it was unable to accept a \$75/month payment from Plaintiff.

COUNT IV

- Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. § 34. 1692f.
 - Section 1692f of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it failed to send Plaintiff any information about her repayment options and disclosed to her grandmother contacted her grandmother, disclosing to her that it was a debt collection company trying to locate Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SHINTELE MALLOY, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);

Case 2:12-cv-03192-WY Document 1 Filed 06/06/12 Page 10 of 10

- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
 c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
 - d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, SHINTELE MALLOY, demands a jury trial in this case.

DATED: 06/06/12

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID # 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike / Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com